UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,229	04/27/2006	John William Chapman	056159-5261	6003
9629 7590 10/02/2007 MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			EXAMINER	
1111 PENNSY	YLVANIA AVENUE NW		STEADMAN, DAVID J	N, DAVID J
WASHINGTO	INGTON, DC 20004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•	1656		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

. · · · · •	•				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/539,229	CHAPMAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
·	David J. Steadman	1656			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
	UVIC CET TO EVDIDE 4 M	ONITU(S) OF THIRTY (30) DAVS			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuent Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  apply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	April 2006.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	·				
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application	on				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers		•			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac	ccepted or b) Objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in A	pplication No			
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the principle.</li></ol>	iority documents have been	received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bure					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachment(s)	•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		s)/Mail Date  Iformal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/539,229

Art Unit: 1656

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Status of the Application

- [1] Claims 1-13 are pending in the application.
- [2] Applicant's preliminary amendment to the claims and specification, filed on 6/16/05, is acknowledged.
- [3] Applicant's preliminary amendment to the specification, filed on 4/27/06, is acknowledged.
- [4] Receipt of an information disclosure statement, filed on 6/16/05, is acknowledged.
- [5] Receipt of a substitute Declaration under 37 CFR 1.63, filed on 4/27/06, is acknowledged.
- [6] Receipt of a sequence listing in computer readable form (CRF), a paper copy thereof, a statement of their sameness, a statement that no new matter has been added to the specification by the paper copy of the sequence CRF, and an amendment directing entry of the sequence listing paper copy into the specification, all filed on 4/27/06, is acknowledged.

## Lack of Unity

[7] Lack of unity is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372. This application contains the following inventions or goups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,229

Art Unit: 1656

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

**Group I**, claim(s) 1-9 and 12-13, drawn to the special technical feature of a method for producing a type III antifreeze protein in a fungal host cell that is deficient in protein glycosylation.

**Group II**, claim(s) 10-11, drawn to the special technial feature of a composition comprising recombinant AFP type III, wherein from about 50-99% of the AFP is unglycosylated.

[8] The technical feature linking the inventions of Groups I-II is an unglycosylated AFP protein. The inventions listed as Groups I-II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical feature for the following reason(s):

According to PCT Rule 13.2 unity of invention exists only when the shared same or corresponding special technical feature is a contribution over the prior art. The inventions listed as Groups I-II do not relate to a single general inventive concept because they lack the same or corresponding special technical feature. The technical feature of Group II is recombinant AFP type III, wherein from about 50-99% of the AFP is unglycosylated, which is shown by Chao et al. (*Prot. Sci.* 2:1411-1428, 1993) to lack novelty or inventive step because the reference teaches an AFP type III protein recombinantly produced in *E. coli* (see *e.g.*, p. 1425). As evidenced by the prior art reference of Mosmann (US Patent 4,690,893), *E. coli* does not glycosylate proteins (column 8, line 42) and as such, the protein of Chao et al. would be "about 99%" unglycosylated. Thus, the shared same or coresponding special technical feature of Groups I-II is not a contribution over the prior art.

[9] Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1656

[10] Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Notice of Possible Rejoinder

[11] The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims

Art Unit: 1656

and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David J. Steadman whose telephone number is 571-272-0942. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon to Fri, 7:30 am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathleen Kerr Bragdon can be reached on 571-272-0931. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

David J. Steadman, Ph.D. Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1656